JEKAMI JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING
e -ISSN: 3021-7407
Volume 5 Number 1 January 2025

Social and Enviromental Accounting: Trens, Issues, and Challenges in the Modern Business Environment

Wahyuni[1], Akbar Gusfiar[2], Nursyaifullah[3], Rian Desriadi[4], Muh. Irsan[5]
Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar
Email wahyuni@unismuh.ac.id, gusfiar018@gmail.com, nursyaifullah666@gmail.com, riandesriadi@gmail.com, iccanklato@gmail.com

Article Info
Product Quality; Store Atmosphere; Customer Satisfaction; Retail Marketing; Consumer Behavior

Abstract
Social and environmental accounting has become increasingly integral to corporate strategy in the modern business environment. This abstract explores the trends, issues, and challenges associated with the adoption and implementation of social and environmental accounting practices. Key trends include the integration of non-financial metrics into corporate strategies, the development of standardized reporting frameworks, and technological advancements in data management. However, challenges persist, such as ensuring data accuracy and reliability, managing costs and resource constraints, and navigating regulatory complexities. Issues like greenwashing and the subjectivity of metrics further complicate efforts to effectively measure and communicate social and environmental impacts. Addressing these challenges requires collaboration among businesses, regulators, and stakeholders to promote transparency, accountability, and sustainability in corporate practices.

Introduction

In recent years, environmental accounting has gained increasing attention within the business community as a critical element of corporate social responsibility (CSR) disclosure (Menike, 2020). Environmental Management Accounting (EMA) has emerged as a rapidly evolving field of both practice and research, focusing on the generation, analysis, and application of financial and non-financial information to support managerial decision-making in aligning environmental and economic objectives (Bartolomeo et al., 2000).

A prominent approach within environmental accounting literature is EMA, which entails the systematic tracking and analysis of an organization's consumption of energy, materials, and other resources to promote sustainable business operations (Bartolomeo et al., 2000). International standards such as the ISO 14000 series have also equipped companies with practical frameworks for improving environmental performance while simultaneously enhancing productivity and overall business success (Menike, 2020). Nevertheless, the adoption and implementation of EMA continue to face a variety of challenges (Burritt, 2004). Key conceptual and practical obstacles include difficulties in investment appraisal, cost allocation, and performance measurement (Burritt, 2004).

These issues underscore the need for more empirical, case-based research to explore the complexities of EMA implementation and to identify strategies for overcoming existing limitations (Burritt, 2004). As global concerns related to climate change and environmental sustainability intensify, the relevance of environmental accounting in contemporary business practices is expected to grow. Organizations that successfully integrate environmental and economic considerations into their accounting and management systems are likely to be better positioned for long-term competitiveness and to contribute meaningfully to sustainable development (Menike, 2020).

Reserch Methods

Based on the literature study in the library review, research questions were formed, namely 1).what is meant by literature study research and 2). How to research with study literature as scientific work. The type of data used is secondary data. Method Data collection is a library study. The method that will be used to assess this study literature. The data obtained is compiled, explained and concluded so that it is obtained conclusions regarding literary studies.

Evolution of Social and Environmental Accounting

Social and environmental accounting has evolved significantly over the past several decades, reflecting the growing recognition of the need to integrate non-financial considerations into corporate reporting and decision-making processes. In the 1970s, traditional financial reporting in Western countries was sometimes complemented by additional social reports, addressing issues such as labor practices and community engagement (Hahn & Kühnen, 2013). However, by the 1980s, the focus shifted more towards environmental issues, such as emissions and waste generation, often replacing prior social reporting(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013).

By the end of the 1990s, reporting research and practice increasingly began to consider the social and environmental dimensions simultaneously in a joint report, which is often published alongside traditional financial reports(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013). This trend can be directly linked to the development of voluntary standard-setting by the Global Reporting Initiative(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013).

The importance of environmental accounting has also grown, driven by global challenges such as climate change and the need for sustainable growth and development(Menike, 2020). The International Organization for Standardization introduced the ISO 14000 series of standards, which includes various aspects of environmental management, providing practical tools for companies to enhance their environmental performance and increase productivity and success(Menike, 2020). As a result of these changes, corporate reports including only historical financial information have become insufficient(Gökten & Marşap, 2017).

Current Trends in SEA

In the dynamic and ever-evolving digital landscape, the Southeast Asian (SEA) region has emerged as a focal point of significant interest, with its burgeoning economic growth, technological advancements, and shifting consumer behavior. The region's diverse economies, ranging from the digital powerhouse of Indonesia to the promising markets of Myanmar and the Philippines, have collectively contributed to the region's digital transformation.(Syuen & Ab-Rahim, 2020). Across Southeast Asia, the digital economy has become a crucial driver of economic growth, with the participation rate in the digital economy being the highest in Indonesia, followed by Vietnam, Thailand, Malaysia, and Singapore.(Ha & Chuah, 2023) The digital transformation has generated numerous benefits for both consumers and sellers, including improved access to goods and services, enhanced convenience, and increased efficiency.(Ha & Chuah, 2023).

However, the region's digital landscape is not without its challenges.(Syuen & Ab-Rahim, 2020) Disparities in internet access and digital infrastructure across the countries have created a digital divide, which poses a significant barrier to the full realization of the region's digital potential.(Syuen & Ab-Rahim, 2020). As Southeast Asia continues to embrace digital technologies, the region's governments, policymakers, and businesses must work collaboratively to address these challenges and harness the opportunities presented by the digital economy.(Ha & Chuah, 2023) By doing so, the region can continue to thrive and solidify its position as a global hub for innovation and economic growth.

1.1. Integrated Reporting: A Comprehensive Approach to Corporate Transparency and Sustainability

Integrated reporting, a novel corporate reporting framework, has gained significant attention in recent years as a means to enhance transparency, accountability, and sustainability (Baumüller & Sopp, 2021)(Burke & Clark, 2016)(Erol & Demirel, 2016)(Aluchna & Roszkowska-Menkes, 2019). This approach integrates financial and non-financial information, providing a holistic picture of a company's performance and its impact on various stakeholders(Burke & Clark, 2016)(Erol & Demirel, 2016).

The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), established in 2010, has been a driving force in promoting integrated reporting, with the goal of embedding it into mainstream business practices(Erol & Demirel, 2016). Integrated reporting goes beyond the traditional focus on financial performance, encompassing a company's strategy, governance, and its impact on the environment, society, and the economy(Aluchna & Roszkowska-Menkes, 2019)(Erol & Demirel, 2016).

By combining financial and non-financial data, integrated reporting offers a more comprehensive understanding of how a company creates, preserves, or erodes value over the short, medium, and long term(Baumüller & Sopp, 2021)(Burke & Clark, 2016). This approach provides investors and other stakeholders with a more accurate and forward-looking assessment of a company's performance, enabling them to make better-informed decisions(Baumüller & Sopp, 2021)(Burke & Clark, 2016).

The business case for integrated reporting is multifaceted.(Burke & Clark, 2016)Practitioners, regulators, and academics alike have highlighted the benefits of this reporting approach, including improved stakeholder engagement, enhanced risk management, and better alignment between a company's strategy and its performance(Burke & Clark, 2016)(Aluchna & Roszkowska-Menkes, 2019). However, the implementation of integrated reporting is not without its challenges.(Burke & Clark, 2016)

1.2 Sustainability Reporting Standards: A Critical Analysis

In the dynamic and increasingly complex business landscape, the concept of sustainability has become a paramount consideration for organizations worldwide. Sustainability reporting, a critical aspect of this shift, has emerged as a means for companies to disclose their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance to various stakeholders (Anderson & Abensour, 2017)(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013)(Zgrzywa-Ziemak, 2017).

Sustainability accounting, a subcategory of financial accounting, focuses on the disclosure of non-financial information about a firm's performance(Palit, 2018). This approach aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of a company's holistic impact, encompassing economic, social, and environmental dimensions(Palit, 2018). The growing emphasis on sustainability reporting can be attributed to a range of factors, including the publication of the United Nations Brundtland Report in 1987 and the introduction of the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) in the late 1990s, which promoted the integration of sustainability into corporate reporting(Benameur et al., 2023).

Despite the increasing recognition of the importance of sustainability reporting, the field continues to evolve, with shifting focuses and varying levels of implementation across industries and regions(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013). The development and focus of sustainability-related reporting have seen several shifts over time, with a focus on social reports in the 1970s and a subsequent shift towards environmental issues such as emissions and waste generation in the 1980s(Hahn & Kühnen, 2013).

As the demand for transparent and accountable sustainability practices continues to grow, organizations face the challenge of navigating the complex landscape of sustainability reporting standards and frameworks.

1.3 Digitalization of Southeast Asia: Trends, Challenges, and Opportunities

The digital economy has been rapidly transforming the landscape of Southeast Asia (SEA), presenting both challenges and opportunities for the region. The rapid advancements in technology, the growing digital entrepreneurship, and the government policies aimed at fostering digital growth have all contributed to the region's digital transformation.

One of the key trends observed in the digitalization of Southeast Asia is the uneven progress across countries. While some countries like Singapore and Malaysia have made significant strides in digital infrastructure and adoption, others like the Philippines and Indonesia still face a digital divide. This digital divide is a significant challenge that needs to be addressed through targeted policies and investments in digital infrastructure and human capital development.

Another notable trend is the impact of digital transformation on economic growth in the region. Studies have shown that the impact of digital development on economic growth in ASEAN countries has been mixed, with both positive and negative implications (Duasa & Ramadan, 2019). This highlights the need for a more nuanced and tailored approach to digital development that takes into account the unique circumstances of each country.

The digitalization of Southeast Asia also brings with it a range of opportunities, including the potential for increased productivity, innovation, and job creation.

1.4 Climate-Related Financial Disclosures: A Comprehensive Review

The issue of climate change has become increasingly pressing in recent years, with mounting evidence demonstrating the significant and far-reaching impacts it can have on both the natural environment and the global economy. As a result, there has been a growing recognition among policymakers, regulators, and the financial sector of the need to better understand and manage the climate-related risks and opportunities that companies face.(Rudebusch, 2021)

One key aspect of this effort has been the push for more comprehensive and standardized climate-related financial disclosures by companies. The Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) has been at the forefront of this movement, providing a framework for companies to report on their climate-related risks and strategies. While the existing literature on this topic has primarily focused on transition and regulatory risks, there is a growing awareness of the need to also consider the physical risks posed by climate change.(Bhandary et al., 2021)

In the banking sector, in particular, there is a growing recognition of the importance of integrating climate considerations into credit risk management frameworks.(Bhandary et al., 2021) However, participation by banks in voluntary disclosure initiatives remains limited, and regulatory frameworks are still nascent in many jurisdictions.(Bhandary et al., 2021) Nonetheless, as climate-related financial risks continue to receive increasing attention, regulatory authorities are expected to take a more active role in setting disclosure requirements for banks and other financial institutions.(Feridun & Güngör, 2020)

Beyond the banking sector, the potential impact of climate change on companies' balance sheets, business strategies, and profitability is becoming increasingly clear.(Feridun & Güngör, 2020) Accurate disclosure of climate-related risks and strategies by companies will be crucial not only for investors and policymakers to make informed decisions, but also for the development of carbon markets and the pricing of companies' carbon footprints.

1. Key Issues in Southeast Asia

Southeast Asia (SEA) is a diverse region encompassing countries with distinct cultures, histories, and economies. This paper will examine some of the key issues facing the region, including economic challenges, energy security, and the digital transformation.

The Southeast Asian region is experiencing rapid economic growth and integration into global knowledge networks (Innovation in Southeast Asia, 2013). However, this transition also poses significant challenges.(Innovation in Southeast Asia, 2013) One key issue is energy security, as the region's energy supply and demand patterns vary widely across countries(Sahid et al., 2019). Revising existing policies and formulating new ones are crucial to improving the region's energy supply security(Sahid et al., 2019).

Another pressing issue is the development of the digital economy.(Ha & Chuah, 2023) While the digital economy presents many opportunities, it also poses significant challenges, particularly in terms of human and physical capital development.(Ha & Chuah, 2023) Policies are needed to address these challenges, such as a common data policy and payment platform for the region, workforce training and development to build digital skills, and strengthening cybersecurity capabilities.(Ha & Chuah, 2023)

Addressing these issues will require collaboration and coordination among the diverse countries of Southeast Asia. Through closer integration, the region can form a partnership for shared prosperity, both regionally and globally.(Adbi, 2014) However, this integration must be pursued in a manner that maintains ASEAN's flexibility and pragmatism, without overly bureaucratizing the regional framework.(Adbi, 2014)

2.1 Measurement Challenges of the Primary Issues in Southeast Asia

Southeast Asia (SEA) is a diverse and rapidly evolving region, where a myriad of complex socioeconomic, environmental, and political issues present unique challenges for researchers and policymakers. One of the primary challenges in the region is the measurement and quantification of these issues, which are often multifaceted and interconnected.

The biodiversity crisis in Southeast Asia is a prime example of this measurement challenge. The region is home to some of the world's most diverse and endangered ecosystems, yet the full extent of the threat to its flora and fauna is not well understood due to a lack of comprehensive data. Factors such as deforestation, habitat fragmentation, and unsustainable resource extraction have led to the risk of extinction for many species, but the true scale of this crisis remains elusive.

Researchers have highlighted the need for enhanced research and monitoring to better quantify the impacts on biodiversity (McNeely et al., 2009). For instance, a study mapping the ranges of vertebrate and plant species in the region found that a significant portion of biodiversity hotspots fall outside of protected areas(Hughes, 2017). This underscores the difficulty in accurately measuring the true extent of biodiversity loss and the adequacy of conservation efforts.

Similarly, the issue of environmental degradation in Southeast Asia presents significant measurement challenges.(Raitzer et al., 2015)

2.2 Navigating the Regulatory Landscape: A Comprehensive Approach to Compliance

Regulatory compliance has become a critical concern for organizations across various sectors, as they navigate an ever-evolving landscape of rules and regulations. In this paper, we explore a comprehensive approach to compliance management, focusing on proactive measures to mitigate risks and ensure full adherence to regulatory requirements.

The changing nature of the regulatory environment presents significant challenges for investment companies and other organizations. Compliance risks have attained a new level of visibility, requiring a robust, risk-based approach to compliance management. Adopting a holistic, integrated perspective is crucial, as it allows organizations to break down the traditional silos between legal and regulatory risks.(Haelterman, 2020) By considering compliance as a strategic priority, organizations can develop flexible and adaptive systems that can navigate the maze of regulations effectively.(Fahey & Rinaldi, 2008)

One of the key elements of a successful compliance program is the ability to identify and document current and evolving risks. This involves a comprehensive risk assessment process that considers both existing regulatory requirements and potential future changes.(Gallagher, 1991) Compliance managers must remain vigilant, continuously monitoring regulatory trends and updating their policies and procedures accordingly.(Steinberg, 2012)

In addition to risk identification, the design and implementation of effective control systems is essential.(Fahey & Rinaldi, 2008) This includes establishing clear roles and responsibilities, implementing robust internal controls, and conducting regular audits and reviews.

2.3 Effective Stakeholder Engagement in Strategic Environmental Assessment

Stakeholder engagement has been a crucial aspect of strategic environmental assessment (SEA) processes, as it helps to ensure that the concerns and perspectives of various stakeholders are incorporated into the assessment and decision-making. The stakeholder management process can be utilized as a strategic planning tool to integrate the interests of stakeholders into corporate environmental strategy development.

(Kujala et al., 2022) Stakeholder engagement is defined as a broad, inclusive, and continuous process between a project and those potentially affected by it. Involving stakeholders is not only an activity aligned with social responsibility but also necessary for ensuring effective corporate governance. Establishing an appropriate strategy for stakeholder engagement raises several challenges for multinational firms, including managing relationships, navigating the environmental context, and effectively managing the stakeholder engagement process.

While the stakeholder management process can be applied to various strategic issues, it is especially relevant to corporate environmental strategy, as the natural environment often involves a diverse range of stakeholders with varying interests and concerns. The literature suggests that there is a need for a generalized stakeholder process that can be used to integrate stakeholders into the strategy development process.(Ngu & Amran, 2018) Materiality disclosure in sustainability reporting has been identified as a key component of this process, as it helps to define the relevance and importance of information communicated to stakeholders, enhancing transparency and accountability.(Polonsky, 1970)(Kimiagari et al., 2013)

2. Challenges in Implementing Socio-Economic Assessment (SEA)

Socio-Economic Assessment (SEA) is a critical tool for evaluating the potential social and economic impacts of development projects, policies, and plans. However, the implementation of SEA can face several challenges that must be addressed to ensure its effectiveness (Neba & Ngeh, 2009)(Montrimas et al., 2021)(Räikkönen et al., 2016).

One significant challenge is the lack of consensus on the methodology for conducting SEA(Bonilla-Alicea & Fu, 2019). The field lacks a standardized approach, leading to ambiguity and difficulty in generalizing results across different studies. This can make it challenging to compare the impacts of different projects or to aggregate findings at a broader level.(Bonilla-Alicea & Fu, 2019)

Another challenge is the difficulty in quantifying social impacts, which can be highly subjective and context-dependent.(Reddy et al.,

2014) Attempts to develop quantitative tools for assessing social impacts, such as the Social Sustainability Evaluation Matrix (SSEM), have been made, but these tools still face limitations in fully capturing the nuances of social impacts. Additionally, there is often pressure to demonstrate short-term effects rather than emphasize the long-term impacts, which can lead to a skewed assessment of the true benefits and drawbacks of a project.(Räikkönen et al., 2016)

Furthermore, the assessment of sustainable investments must consider not just economic factors, but also social acceptability and the overall impact on society as a whole.(Räikkönen et al., 2016) This requires a more holistic approach that goes beyond traditional socio-economic reasoning and considers the strategic implications of a project or policy.(Montrimas et al., 2021)

To address these challenges, a more collaborative and interdisciplinary approach is needed, involving stakeholders from diverse backgrounds and utilizing a variety of assessment methods. Additionally, greater emphasis should be placed on developing more robust and comprehensive frameworks for socio-economic assessment that can capture the long-term and intangible impacts of development projects.

3.1 Integration of Corporate Strategy

In the dynamic and ever-evolving business landscape, the integration of corporate strategy has become a crucial aspect of organizational success. Firms must carefully navigate the complexities of mergers, acquisitions, and strategic partnerships to create and deliver value, while maintaining their competitive edge.

The literature highlights several critical success factors in the integration phase of such corporate transactions.(DePamphilis, 2016) Effective pre-merger planning, clear communication, and a well-defined integration process are essential.(DePamphilis, 2016) Additionally, appointing a dedicated integration manager and team, with clearly delineated goals and authority, can help drive the transition forward.(Barnhart, 1987)

Another key consideration is the pace of integration.(DePamphilis, 2016) Finding the right balance between speed and deliberation is crucial, as rushing the process can lead to “organizational drift,” while excessive caution can stifle momentum and synergy.(Barnhart, 1987) Moreover, managing employee resistance as an asset, rather than a liability, can unlock valuable insights and ensure a smoother integration.(Barnhart, 1987)

The role of cultural integration should not be overlooked, as differences in organizational cultures can pose significant challenges to the success of a merger or acquisition.(Cintron, 2020)Managers must view cultural integration as a long-term process, requiring a phased approach to prevent abrupt organizational disruption.(Cintron, 2020)

Ultimately, the integration of corporate strategy is a multifaceted endeavor, requiring careful planning, effective communication, and a nuanced understanding of the human and organizational dynamics at play.(DePamphilis, 2016)(Graebner et al., 2017)(Barnhart, 1987)

3.2 The Cost Implications of Search Engine Advertising (SEA)

In today’s digital landscape, search engine advertising (SEA) has emerged as a powerful marketing tool for businesses of all sizes, offering the potential to reach a vast and targeted audience. However, the cost implications of this strategy can be a significant consideration for many organizations.(Yang et al., 2019)

The sponsored search advertising market has experienced substantial growth in recent years, with predictions of a 37% compound annual growth rate to reach over $33 billion by 2010.(Ghose & Yang, 2007) This growth is not surprising given the widespread use of search engines, with 94% of consumers using them to find information on the web and 81% finding the information they are looking for every time they search.(Ghose & Yang, 2007)

The advent of sponsored search advertisements has enabled firms to more effectively attract consumers to their websites by delivering relevant and targeted text advertisements as part of the search experience.(Ghose & Yang, 2007) This has made sponsored search a highly effective marketing vehicle, with research showing that conversion rates, order values, and profits from paid search advertisements are much higher than those from natural search.(Jansen & Schuster, 2011)

When it comes to the cost of search engine advertising, the research indicates that there is a significant advantage to matching branding terms in key phrases and advertisements, as this has been shown to improve critical metrics such as the number of clicks, cost per.

3.3 Standardization and Consistency of SEA

The concept of standardization and consistency within the framework of Search Engine Advertising (SEA) has become a pivotal focus for digital marketers and advertising professionals alike. With the rapid growth of the online advertising landscape, the imperative to streamline and harmonize key processes has never been more apparent.(Ghose & Yang, 2007)

Keyword decisions, a critical component of sponsored search advertising (SSA), have garnered significant research attention from both academia and industry.(Yang & Li, 2023) As the sponsored search market continues to evolve, advertisers face a myriad of decisions throughout the lifecycle of their campaigns, ranging from keyword selection to bid optimization.(Yang & Li, 2023) Establishing a consistent, standardized approach to these decisions can yield tangible benefits in terms of campaign performance and efficiency.(Bai et al., 2018)

One key area of focus is the underlying theoretical and methodological foundations of sponsored search.(Jansen, 2011) Rather than solely concentrating on the technical implementation details, a deeper examination of the core elements that drive the “how” of keyword advertising can yield valuable insights.(Jansen, 2011) Recognizing the inherent complexities and challenges associated with keyword optimization, such as the vast number of search terms and the multifaceted performance metrics involved, a multilevel computational framework has been proposed to address these issues.(Yang et al., 2019)

Ultimately, the standardization and consistency of Search Engine Advertising practices can have far-reaching implications for the industry.

3.4 Cultural and Organizational Change in Southeast Asia

The dynamic and rapidly evolving landscape of Southeast Asia has long captivated the attention of scholars and practitioners alike, as the region navigates the complex interplay between cultural traditions and the pressures of modernization and globalization. One critical aspect of this transformation is the role of cultural and organizational change, which has profound implications for the region’s social, economic, and political trajectories.

At the heart of this discourse is the recognition that cultural landscapes in Southeast Asia, like their Western counterparts, are part of a dynamic “process by which identities are formed” (Taylor, 2009). These landscapes reflect the organizing philosophies, value systems, and traditional knowledge frameworks that are deeply embedded within the diverse cultures of the region(Taylor, 2009). As such, any understanding of organizational change in Southeast Asia must necessarily grapple with the nuanced and multifaceted nature of these cultural influences.

Importantly, the literature suggests that local groups in Southeast Asia are not merely passive recipients of global forces, but rather active agents in reshaping the discourses and practices that emerge from these broader trends(Cooke, 2003). This points to the need for a more nuanced and context-sensitive approach to understanding organizational change, one that acknowledges the complex interplay between the local and the global.

3. Closing

Conclusion

Social and environmental accounting has emerged as a critical aspect of modern business environments, reflecting increasing societal and regulatory pressures to account for non-financial impacts. In conclusion, while social and environmental accounting has made significant strides in recent years, it continues to face challenges related to standardization, data reliability, and stakeholder engagement. Addressing these issues will require collaboration among businesses, regulators, and society to foster a more sustainable and transparent business environment. As expectations evolve, companies that proactively embrace these challenges are likely to gain a competitive advantage and contribute positively to both society and the environment.

Bibliography

Gökten, P O., & Marşap, B. (2017, September 20). Paradigm Shift in Corporate Reporting. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68832

Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013, November 1). Determinants of sustainability reporting: a review of results, trends, theory, and opportunities in an expanding field of research. Elsevier BV, 59, 5-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.005

Menike, L. (2020, October 2). Impact of Environmental Disclosure on Firm Performance: An Empirical Analysis of Food, Beverage and Tobacco Sector Companies Listed in Colombo Stock Exchange, Sri Lanka. , 10(10). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i10/7977

Anderson, J., & Abensour, J. (2017, January 1). Measuring Your Company's Impact: How to Make the Most of Sustainability Reporting Frameworks. Springer Nature, 255-271. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46021-5_15

Benameur, K., Mostafa, M M., Hassanein, A., Shariff, M., & Al-Shattarat, W. (2023, January 23). Sustainability reporting scholarly research: a bibliometric review and a future research agenda. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00319-7

Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013, November 1). Determinants of sustainability reporting: a review of results, trends, theory, and opportunities in an expanding field of research. Elsevier BV, 59, 5-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.005

Palit, S. (2018, January 1). Emerging Significance of Sustainability Accounting and Reporting in India – A Conceptual Study. , 8(4), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.24247/ijafmroct20181

Duasa, J., & Ramadan, A E. (2019, December 31). DIGITAL DEVELOPMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN SELECTED ASEAN COUNTRIES: APPLYING RESPONSE SURFACES FOR CRITICAL BOUNDS OF COINTEGRATION TEST. Cambridge University Press, 24(2), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.22452/jati.vol24no2.1

Bhandary, R R., Gallagher, K S., & Zhang, F. (2021, January 22). Climate finance policy in practice: a review of the evidence. Taylor & Francis, 21(4), 529-545. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1871313

Feridun, M., & Güngör, H. (2020, July 1). Climate-Related Prudential Risks in the Banking Sector: A Review of the Emerging Regulatory and Supervisory Practices. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 12(13), 5325-5325. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135325

Rudebusch, G D. (2021, February 8). Climate Change Is a Source of Financial Risk. Federal

Adbi. (2014, December 26). ASEAN 2030: Toward a Borderless Economic Community. http://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1850549

Ha, H., & Chuah, C P. (2023, May 15). Digital economy in Southeast Asia: challenges, opportunities and future development. , 23(1), 19-35. https://doi.org/10.1108/seamj-02-2023-0023

Innovation in Southeast Asia. (2013, April 24). Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264128712-en

Sahid, E J M., Sin, T C., & Hock, G C. (2019, June 1). Energy Security in ASEAN Region: Its challenges. IOP Publishing, 268(1), 012168-012168. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/268/1/012168

Cooke, F M. (2003, June 1). Introduction to the Symposium on Localising and Globalising Patterns in Natural Resource Use in Southeast Asia. Cambridge University Press, 34(2), 249-250. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022463403000237

Taylor, K. (2009, January 28). Cultural Landscapes and Asia: Reconciling International and Southeast Asian Regional Values. Taylor & Francis, 34(1), 7-31. https://doi.org/10.1080/01426390802387513

Hughes, A C. (2017, May 1). Mapping priorities for conservation in Southeast Asia. Elsevier BV, 209, 395-405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.03.007

McNeely, J A., Kapoor-Vijay, P., Lü, Z., Olsvig-Whittaker, L., Sheikh, K M., & Smith, A T. (2009, July 13). Conservation Biology in Asia: the Major Policy Challenges. Wiley-Blackwell, 23(4), 805-810. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01284.x

Raitzer, D., Samson, J N G., & Nam, K. (2015, January 1). Achieving Environmental Sustainability in Myanmar. RELX Group (Netherlands). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2709328

Fahey, B., & Rinaldi, M. (2008, June 13). Navigating the evolving regulatory maze: compliance management in a changing world. Emerald Publishing Limited, 9(2), 40-44. https://doi.org/10.1108/15285810810886171

Gallagher, T. (1991, September 1). Proactive environmental management is the key to full compliance. Wiley, 1(1), 37-44. https://doi.org/10.1002/tqem.3310010107

Haelterman, H. (2020, September 12). Breaking Silos of Legal and Regulatory Risks to Outperform Traditional Compliance Approaches. Springer Science+Business Media, 28(1), 19-36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10610-020-09468-x

Steinberg, R M. (2012, November 1). The Game Changes: 10 Essential Elements for Truly Effective Compliance Programs. Taylor & Francis, 46(5), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1080/07366981.2012.741934

Kimiagari, S., Keivanpour, S., Mohiuddin, M., & Horne, C V. (2013, October 21). The Cooperation Complexity Rainbow: Challenges of Stakeholder Involvement in Managing Multinational Firms. Canadian Center of Science and Education, 8(22). https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v8n22p50

Kujala, J., Sachs, S., Leinonen, H., Heikkinen, A., & Laude, D. (2022, January 6). Stakeholder Engagement: Past, Present, and Future. SAGE Publishing, 61(5), 1136-1196. https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211066595

Ngu, S B., & Amran, A. (2018, May 14). Materiality disclosure in sustainability reporting: fostering stakeholder engagement. Emerald Publishing Limited, 34(5), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1108/sd-01-2018-0002

Polonsky, M J. (1970, January 1). Incorporating the Natural Environment in Corporate Strategy: A Stakeholder Approach. , 12(2), 151-168. https://doi.org/10.54155/jbs.12.2.151-168

Bonilla-Alicea, R J., & Fu, K. (2019, July 30). Systematic Map of the Social Impact Assessment Field. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 11(15), 4106-4106. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154106

Montrimas, A., Bruneckienė, J., & Gaidelys, V. (2021, July 30). Beyond the Socio-Economic Impact of Transport Megaprojects. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 13(15), 8547-8547. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158547

Neba, N E., & Ngeh, B P. (2009, September 1). Socio-Economic Impact of the Chad – Cameroon Oil Pipeline in Ocean Division, Cameroon. Kamla Raj Enterprises, 27(3), 175-183. https://doi.org/10.1080/09709274.2009.11906208

Barnhart, G. (1987, April 1). Common Critical Success Factors for Integrating Mergers and Acquisitions. American Society of Civil Engineers, 3(1), 38-46. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)9742-597x(1987)3:1(38)

Cintron, R. (2020, January 1). What Impact Does Cultural Integration Have on Strategic Acquisitions?. Informing Science Institute, 4, 169-176. https://doi.org/10.28945/4655

DePamphilis, D M. (2016, January 1). Postclosing Integration: Mergers, Acquisitions, and Business Alliances. Academic Press, 203-231. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128016091000063

Graebner, M E., Heimeriks, K H., Huy, Q N., & Vaara, E. (2017, January 1). The Process of Postmerger Integration: A Review and Agenda for Future Research. Routledge, 11(1), 1-32. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2014.0078

Ghose, A., & Yang, S. (2007, August 19). An empirical analysis of paid placement in online advertising. https://doi.org/10.1145/1282100.1282120

Jansen, B J., & Schuster, S. (2011, February 1). BIDDING ON THE BUYING FUNNEL FOR SPONSORED SEARCH AND KEYWORD ADVERTISING. , 12(1), 1-18. https://faculty.ist.psu.edu/jjansen/academic/jansen_buying_funnel_jecr.pdf

Yang, Y., Jansen, B J., Yang, Y., Guo, X., & Zeng, D. (2019, January 1). Keyword Optimization in Sponsored Search Advertising: A Multilevel Computational Framework. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 34(1), 32-42. https://doi.org/10.1109/mis.2019.2893590

Bai, G., Zhi-hui, X., & Wang, L. (2018, January 1). Practical Constrained Optimization of Auction Mechanisms in E-Commerce Sponsored Search Advertising. Cornell University. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1807.11790

Ghose, A., & Yang, S. (2007, August 19). An empirical analysis of paid placement in online advertising. https://doi.org/10.1145/1282100.1282120

Jansen, B J. (2011, June 27). Understanding Sponsored Search: Core Elements of Keyword Advertising. https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB07071846

Yang, Y., & Li, H. (2023, January 1). Keyword decisions in sponsored search advertising: A literature review and research agenda. Elsevier BV, 60(1), 103142-103142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103142

Yang, Y., Jansen, B J., Yang, Y., Guo, X., & Zeng, D. (2019, January 1). Keyword Optimization in Sponsored Search Advertising: A Multilevel Computational Framework. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 34(1), 32-42. https://doi.org/10.1109/mis.2019.2893590